



Review Tool reports

UNDERSTANDING AND CONTEXTUALIZING THE REPORTS

Readers of these automated manuscript Review Tool reports are encouraged to use them to support them in performing their own assessment and 'health check' on a preprint prior to it completing peer review.

However, these should only be used as a guide, read within the overall context of the article itself, and should never replace full peer review. Please ensure you read the article fully alongside these and familiarize yourself with the tools and how they work, using the links provided below.

These reports are published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

SCISCORE® REPORTS: MDAR CHECKLIST FOR AUTHORS AND SCISCORE CORE REPORT

SciScore* (https://sciscore.com) scans the methodology section of an article for important scientific rigour criteria and key biological resources and highlights if these are accessible or have problems associated. The Materials, Design, Analysis, and Reporting (MDAR) report and Core report generated from this are included here for transparency and can be cited independently using the DOI below.

- Information on the MDAR report: https://sciscore.com/reports/MDAR-Report.php
- Information on the Core report: https://sciscore.com/reports/Core-Report.php

How to cite the SciScore reports for this article:

Ben Lahlou Y, Laanibi Z, Malihy Z, Benaissa E, Maleb A, *et al.* SciScore reports for: A hydrocele revealing epididymal tuberculosis. *Access Microbiology.* 2024. https://doi.org/10.1099/acmi.0.000781.v2.1

ITHENTICATE® REPORT

iThenticate* (https://www.ithenticate.com) checks the submitted article against an extensive database of articles from the internet and scholarly publications and highlights where similar sentences or phrases have been used previously, including in the author's own published work. Each individual match is given a percentage score based on how much it overlaps with the previously existing work, and an overall similarity score is given. The report generated from this are included here for transparency and can be cited independently using the DOI below.

- FAQs: https://www.ithenticate.com/products/faqs
- Help pages: https://help.turnitin.com/ithenticate/ithenticate-user/ithenticate-user.htm#TheSimilarityReport

How to cite the iThenticate report for this article:

Ben Lahlou Y, Laanibi Z, Malihy Z, Benaissa E, Maleb A, *et al.* iThenticate report for: A hydrocele revealing epididymal tuberculosis. *Access Microbiology.* 2024. https://doi.org/10.1099/acmi.0.000781.v2.2

Document Identifier: 2417_6582bb196700d6.85086492

SciScore Report

Below you will find your SciScore report containing three tables. Your score is calculated based on adherence to scientific rigor criteria (Table 1) and identification of key biological resources (Table 2). Table 3 contains statistical tests and oligonucleotides but is not scored. If SciScore makes any mistakes, please <u>contact us</u> to help us learn and improve.

Table 1: Rigor Adherence Table

| <u>Ethics</u> |
|---|
| Consent: Consent to publishWritten consent was obtained from the patient for publication of this case report. |
| Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria |
| not detected. |
| Attrition |
| not detected. |
| Sex as a biological variable |
| not detected. |
| Subject Demographics |
| Age: not detected. |
| Weight: not detected. |
| Randomization |
| not detected. |
| Blinding |
| not detected. |
| Power Analysis |
| not detected. |
| Replication Replication |
| not required. |

Table 2: Key Resources Table

| Your Sentences | REAGENT or | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|----------------|------------|--------|------------|
| | RESOURCE | | |

SciScore is an <u>automated tool</u> that is designed to assist expert reviewers by finding and presenting formulaic information scattered throughout a paper in a standard, easy to digest format. *SciScore is not a substitute for expert review*. SciScore also checks for the presence and correctness of several unique identifiers, including RRIDs (research resource identifiers) in the manuscript, detects sentences that appear to be missing RRIDs, and can even suggest RRIDs under certain circumstances. **All RRID suggestions should be verified;** only the author can know whether the suggestions are correct.

For a full description of scored criteria and tips for improving your score, please see https://www.scicrunch.com/sciscorereport-faq

Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR) Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: doi:10.31222/osf.oio/9sm4x.). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

Materials

| Antibodies | Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend) | n/a |
|---|---|-----|
| For commercial reagents, provide supplier name, | No antibodes detected. | |
| catalogue number and RRID, if available | Please add identifiers for all resources where possible | |

| Cell Materials | Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend) | n/a |
|---|--|-----|
| Cell lines: Provide species information, strain. Provide accession number in repository OR supplier name, catalog number, clone number, OR RRID | No cell lines detected Please add identifiers for all resources where possible | |
| Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of origin, genetic modification status. | Not currently checked by SciScore | |

| Experimental Animals | Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend) | n/a |
|--|---|-----|
| Laboratory animals: Provide species, strain, sex, age, genetic modification status. Provide accession number in repository OR supplier name, catalog number, clone number, OR RRID | No organisms detected Please add identifiers for all resources where possible | |
| Animal observed in or captured from the field: Provide species, sex and age where possible | Not currently checked by SciScore | |
| Model organisms: Provide Accession number in repository (where relevant) OR RRID | See laboratory animals section for information. | |

| Plants and microbes | Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend) | n/a |
|---|---|-----|
| Plants: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source (including location for collected wild specimens) | Not currently checked by SciScore | |
| Microbes: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source | Not currently checked by SciScore | |

| Human research participants | Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend) | n/a |
|---|--|-----|
| Identify authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval. | Not detected. | |
| Provide statement confirming informed consent obtained from study participants. | Consent to publishWritten consent was obtained from the patient for publication of this case report. | |
| Report on age and sex for all study participants. | Age:not detected. Sex:not detected. | |

Design

number for the regulatory approval

| Design | | |
|---|---|-----|
| Study protocol | Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend) | n/a |
| For clinical trials, provide the trial registration number OR cite DOI in manuscript. | Not detected. | |
| Laboratory protocol | Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend) | n/a |
| Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step- by-step protocols are available. | Not detected. | |
| Experimental study design (statistics details) | Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend) | n/a |
| State whether and how the following have been done, or if they were not carried out | | |
| Sample size determination | not detected. | |
| Randomization | not detected. | |
| Blinding | not detected. | |
| inclusion/exclusion criteria | not detected. | |
| Sample definition and in-laboratory replication | Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend) | n/a |
| State number of times the experiment was replicated in laboratory | Not detected. | |
| Define whether data describe technical or biological replicates | Not detected. | |
| Ethics | Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend) | n/a |
| Studies involving human participants: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval. | Not detected. | |
| Studies involving experimental animals: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval. | Not detected. | |
| Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required, explain why. | Not detected. | |
| Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC) | Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend) | n/a |
| If study is subject to dual use research of concern, state the authority granting approval and reference | Not currently checked by SciScore | |

Analysis

| Attrition | Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend) | n/a |
|---|---|-----|
| State if sample or data point from the analysis is excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were determined and specified in advance. | not detected. | |

| Statistics | Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend) | n/a |
|---|---|-----|
| Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of | Not detected. | |
| tests. | | |

| Data availability | Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend) | n/a |
|--|---|-----|
| State whether newly created datasets are available, including protocols for access or restriction on access. | Not detected. | |
| If data are publicly available, provide accession number in repository or DOI or URL. | Not detected. | |
| If publicly available data are reused, provide accession number in repository or DOI or URL, where possible. | Not detected. | |

| Code availability | Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend) | n/a |
|---|---|-----|
| For all newly generated code and software essential for replicating the main findings of the study: | | |
| State whether the code or software is available. | Not detected. | |
| If code is publicly available, provide accession number in repository, or DOI or URL. | Not detected. | |

Analysis

| Adherence to community standards | Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend) | n/a |
|--|---|-----|
| MDAR framework recommends adoption of discipline-specific guidelines, established and endorsed through community initiatives. Journals have their own policy about requiring specific guidelines and recommendations to complement MDAR. | | |
| State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI, ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist (eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with the manuscript. | Not currently checked by SciScore | |

ACMI-D-23-00236.pdf

By Yassine Ben Lahlou

Access Microbiology A hydrocele revealing epididymal tuberculosis --Manuscript Draft--



1

2

5

11

14 15

16

A hydrocele revealing epididymal tuberculosis

Yassine Ben Lahlou¹, Zakaria Laanibi¹, Zakaria Malihy¹, Elmostapha Benaissa¹, Adil 3 Maleb 2, , Mariama Chadli1, Mostafa Elouennass1 4

Department of Bacteriology, Mohammed Military **Teaching** 6 Hospital/Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy (University Mohammed V), Rabat, 7 Morocco. 8

² Laboratory of Microbiology, Mohammed VI University Hospital/Faculty of 9 Medicine and Pharmacy (University Mohammed the first), Oujda, Morocco. 10

*Corresponding author: Dr. Yassine Ben Lahlou, Ass. Professor of Clinical 12

Biology, benlahlouyassine@gmail.com, Tél: +212613580693. 13

Data summary

No data was reused or generated 17

Summary 18

- Urogenital tuberculosis is a severe form of extrapulmonary tuberculosis. The 19
- organs most commonly affected are the epididymis and the testis. Clinical
- manifestations may include epididymitis, orchi-epididymitis, hydrocele, 21
- associated with leukocyturia, and significant hematuria. 22
- We report a case of a patient with a hydrocele that revealed epididymal 23
- tuberculosis. 24

Introduction: 25

- Tuberculosis (TB) is a public health problem, especially in developing countries. 26
- Urogenital tuberculosis, known as a severe variant of tuberculosis, constitutes 27
- 20-73% of all extrapulmonary cases [1]. Among the organs affected, the 28
- epididymis and testis are the most commonly involved. However, epididymal 29
- localization remains relatively rare [2]. 30
- In this report, we present a case of a patient with a hydrocele that revealed 31
- epididymal tuberculosis. 32

Observation:

33

- This is a 70-year-old man from Tangier, in the north of Morocco. He is a
- 35 chronic and active smoker, with a medical history of Chronic Obstructive
- Pulmonary Disease (COPD) and rheumatoid arthritis, for which he is receiving
- immunosuppressive treatment.
- 38 The patient presented with a left hydrocele, along with a one-month history of
- mild scrotal pain. Upon clinical examination, the patient was in a satisfactory
- 40 overall condition, with no signs of hernia and a soft lower abdomen. The
- 41 appearance of the penis was normal, while the scrotum displayed fluid
- 42 accumulation. Scrotal ultrasound revealed a significant amount of fluid in the
- left hydrocele and a smaller amount in the right hydrocele.
- 44 Subsequently, surgical treatment was undertaken, during which epididymitis
- was identified. An intraoperative unilateral epididymectomy was performed. In
- 46 terms of laboratory findings, the cyto-bacteriological examination of urine was
- and negative for bacterial growth but showed elevated leukocyturia (37.10³/ml) and
- 48 haematuria (13.10³/ml).
- 49 Further testing using a melecular biology assay (GenXpert®) on the
- 50 epididymectomy specimen confirmed the presence of Mycobacterium
- 51 tuberculosis, without any indication of rifampicin resistance. However, a urine
- 52 test specifically for Mycobacterium tuberculosis was not conducted. The
- 53 histopathological examination of the epididymectomy specimen supported the
- 54 bacteriological diagnosis, revealing caseous-follicular granulomatous
- 55 epididymitis consistent with a tubercular origin. Consequently, the patient was
- 56 initiated on the recommended anti-bacillary treatment.

Discussion:

57

- Tuberculosis continues to be a significant global public health issue. The World
- Health Organization (WHO) reports that more than 10 million people contract
- active tuberculosis each year, with 1.6 million deaths resulting from the disease
- 61 [3]. Urogenital tuberculosis, considered as a severe form of tuberculosis,
- accounts for 20 to 73% of all extrapulmonary cases [1, 4]. The epididymis
- 63 (22%) and testis are the most commonly affected organs, followed by the
- bladder, ureter, prostate, and penis.
- In most cases, involvement is unilateral [2, 5]. This was our patient's case. While
- the average age of onset is typically between 38 and 40 years [2], TB can affect
- 67 individuals of all age groups, including children. Risk factors such as
- 68 immunosuppression, smoking, and alcoholism increase the susceptibility to

- urogenital tuberculosis. Our patient has two risk factors related to smoking and immunosuppressive therapy.
- 71 The manifestations of urogenital tuberculosis can vary, with epididymitis [5, 6,
- 72 7] or orchi-epididymitis [8] being common presentations. However, it can also
- be revealed by the presence of a seemingly ordinary hydrocele [5, 7, 9, 10] or
- 74 present as a pseudotumoral appearance. In our patient's case, the hydrocele was
- 75 the presenting symptom that led to the consultation.
- 76 Several theories have been proposed regarding the route of infection of the
- 77 epididymis in tuberculosis. While the ductal route, where the infection ascends
- along the path of sperm from the prostate and seminal vesicles, has been
- 79 implicated, hematogenous dissemination may also be responsible for cases of
- 80 tuberculous epididymitis without renal involvement or Mycobacterium
- 81 tuberculosis detection in the urine. Lymphatic involvement is also recognized
- 82 [2]. In rare instances, tuberculous epididymitis can result from venereal
- 83 transmission.
- Due to the variability of clinical symptoms, diagnosing urogenital tuberculosis
- 85 can be challenging [11]. Therefore, identifying additional diagnostic clues is
- 86 important. Biologically, hematuria and/or leukocyturia are commonly observed
- 87 without the isolation of any specific bacteria on standard culture media. Our
- patient exhibited significant leukocyturia and hematuria (37.10³/ml and 13.10³
- [/]ml, respectively) in sterile urine.
- 90 In our case, molecular biology testing provided a definitive diagnosis. This
- 91 diagnostic tool is highly valuable for paucibacillary specimens due to its high
- sensitivity, specificity, and rapid results, facilitating prompt management and
- preventing complications [12]. While the most common complication of
- 94 epididymal tuberculosis is the potential impact on fertility due to seminal tract
- obstruction or testicular necrosis caused by caseous necrosis [5, 11], it can also
- 96 lead to severe, life-threatening complications such as psoas abscess and
- 97 Addison's disease [13].
- 98 In terms of treatment, the national tuberculosis protocol involving rifampicin,
- 99 isoniazid, pyrazinamide, and streptomycin was followed. Some authors have
- 100 reported success with treatment involving rifampicin injection into the testicular
- vagina, enabling higher concentrations to be achieved in contact with the lesion
- 102 [11].

105 Conclusion:

- 106 The case presented highlights the importance of considering tuberculous
- epididymitis as a possible diagnosis when encountering a hydrocele, particularly
- in an endemic setting. It emphasizes the value of employing molecular biology
- 109 testing for such cases, enabling accurate detection of Mycobacterium
- 110 tuberculosis.

111 Ethical approval

- Written informed consent was obtained from the patient to publish this report in
- accordance with the journal's patient consent policy.
- 114 Funding
- This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public,
- commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.
- 117 Author contributions
- 118 Yassine Ben Lahlou: Conceptualization 8b73531f-db56-4914-9502-
- 119 4cc4d4d8ed73.
- 120 Zakaria Laanibi, Zakaria Malihy: Data curation f93e0f44-f2a4-4ea1-824a-
- 121 4e0853b05c9d.
- 122 Elmostapha Benaissa, Adil Maleb: Methodology f21e2be9-4e38-4ab7-8691-
- 123 d6f72d5d5843.
- Mariama Chadli, Mostafa Elouennass,: Validation 4b1bf348-faf2-4fc4-bd66-
- 125 4cd3a84b9d44.
- 126 Conflict of interest statement
- The author(s) declare that there are no conflicts of interest.
- 128 References:
- [1] Ekaterine Kuchavenya. Best practice in the diagnosis and management of
- urogenital tuberculosis. Ther adv Urol 2013;5(3): 143-145.
- 131 [2] A. Benchekroun, Y. Nouini, M. Zannoud, A. Moustapha Cissé, M. Marzouk,
- M. Faik. "Epididymal tuberculosis: a case report with a particular colour
- echodoppler appearance". Annales d'urologie 36 (2002) 384–387.
- 134 [3] National guide for the treatment of tuberculosis in children and adolescents.
- Directorate of Epidemiology and Disease Control, Moroccan Ministry of Health.
- 136 2020.

137

- 138 [4] Félix Kwizera, Stéphanie Hublet, Antoine Bufkens, Jean-Pierre Chami,
- 139 Stéphane Rysselinck. "Epididymal tuberculosis revealed by retroperitoneal
- 140 lymph nodes ". louvain med 2017; 136 (1): 35-40.
- 141 [5] Gueye SM, Ba M, Sylla C, Ndoye AK, Fall PA, Diaw JJ, Mensah A.
- "Epididymal manifestations of urogenital tuberculosis". Prog. Urol. Apr
- 143 1998;8(2):240–3.
- [6] Sarramon JP, Lhez JM, Courty P, Escourrou G. Tuberculose génitourinaire :
- "anatomo-clinical aspects and diagnostic values of histological lesions". Ann.
- 146 Urol. 1985;16:241-2.
- [7] Poulios C, Malovrouvas D. Progress in the approach of tuberculosis of the
- genitourinary tract: remarks on a decade's experience over cases. Acta Urol.
- 149 Belg. 1990;58(3):101–23.
- 150 [8] Benchekroun A, Lachkar A, Soumana A, Farih MH, Belahnech Z, Marzouk
- 151 M, Faik M. "Urogenital tuberculosis". À propos de 80 cas. Ann Urol
- 152 1998;32(2):89–94.
- 153 [9] Guy RJ. "Tuberculous epididymitis presenting as acute hydrocele". J R Nav.
- 154 Med Serv. 1995;81(1):33–6.
- 155 [10] Reeve HR, Weinerth JL, Peterson LJ. "Tuberculosis of epididymis and
- testicle presenting as hydrocele". Urology Sep 1974;4(3):329–31.
- 157 [11] Lacambra Calvet C, Solis Villa J. "Recurrent orchiepididymitis, 6 months
- after likely miliary tuberculosis". Arch Esp Urol Jun 1999; 52(5):518–20.
- 159 [12] Pengju Liu, Yuan Liu, Yeqiang Wang, Sida Hao, Yong Qin. Assessing
- the diagnostic accuracy of the Xpert MTB/RIF assay in detecting epididymal
- tuberculosis. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2022 Apr;41(4):615-620. doi:
- 162 10.1007/s10096-022-04418-3. Epub 2022 Feb 7.
- [13] Skoutelis A, Marangos M, Petsas T, Chionis I, Barbalias G, Bassaris H.
- Serious complications of tuberculous epididymitis. Infection May 2000; 28(3):
- 165 193–5.

ORIGINALITY REPORT

17% SIMILARITY INDEX

| | ADV COURTS | | | |
|------|---|----------------------------|--|--|
| PRIM | PRIMARY SOURCES | | | |
| 1 | pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov Internet | 45 words — 4% | | |
| 2 | S. Oucharqui, H. Adil, E. Benaissa, F. Bssaibis, I. Ennafaa, A. Maleb, J. El fenni, M. Elouennass. "Breast tuberculosis: A forgotten diagnosis", IDCases, 2021 | 31 words — 3 % | | |
| 3 | www.louvainmedical.be Internet | 24 words — 2 % | | |
| 4 | www.worldnutrijournal.org Internet | 15 words — 1% | | |
| 5 | academic.oup.com Internet | 14 words — 1 % | | |
| 6 | www.jons-online.com Internet | 12 words — 1 % | | |
| 7 | Aditya Prakash Sharma, Rajeev Kumar. "What are the challenges in the pharmacotherapeutic management of male genital tuberculosis?", Expert Opinion on Pharmacotherapy, 2022 Crossref | e 11 words — 1 % | | |
| 8 | Yassine Ben Lahlou, Elmostapha Benaissa, Adil Maleb, Mariama Chadli, Mostafa Elouennass. | 11 words — 1% | | |

Maleb, Mariama Chadli, Mostafa Elouennass.

"Pancytopenia revealing acute Brucellosis", IDCases, 2020

Crossref

| 9 | C. Ruef. "Unusual Presentations of Infectious Diseases", Infection, 2000 Crossref | 9 words — 1 % |
|----|--|----------------------|
| 10 | www.researchgate.net Internet | 9 words — 1 % |
| 11 | barcelona2019.euromedlab.org | 8 words — 1 % |

EXCLUDE QUOTES OFF EXCLUDE SOURCES OFF
EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY ON EXCLUDE MATCHES OFF