

## **Review Tool reports**

### UNDERSTANDING AND CONTEXTUALIZING THE REPORTS

Readers of these automated manuscript Review Tool reports are encouraged to use them to support them in performing their own assessment and 'health check' on a preprint prior to it completing peer review.

However, these should only be used as a guide, read within the overall context of the article itself, and should never replace full peer review. Please ensure you read the article fully alongside these and familiarize yourself with the tools and how they work, using the links provided below.

These reports are published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

## SCISCORE® REPORTS: MDAR CHECKLIST FOR AUTHORS AND SCISCORE CORE REPORT

SciScore<sup>\*</sup> (https://sciscore.com) scans the methodology section of an article for important scientific rigour criteria and key biological resources and highlights if these are accessible or have problems associated. The Materials, Design, Analysis, and Reporting (MDAR) report and Core report generated from this are included here for transparency and can be cited independently using the DOI below.

- Information on the MDAR report: https://sciscore.com/reports/MDAR-Report.php
- Information on the Core report: https://sciscore.com/reports/Core-Report.php

### How to cite the SciScore reports for this article:

Yap J, Kayda I, Asamoah-Boaheng M, Haig S, Kirkham T, *et al.* SciScore reports for: The relationship between the number of COVID-19 vaccines and infection with Omicron ACE2 inhibition at 18-months post initial vaccination in an adult cohort of Canadian paramedics. *Access Microbiology*. 2023. https://doi.org/10.1099/acmi.0.000725.v1.1

## ITHENTICATE® REPORT

iThenticate<sup>\*</sup> (https://www.ithenticate.com) checks the submitted article against an extensive database of articles from the internet and scholarly publications and highlights where similar sentences or phrases have been used previously, including in the author's own published work. Each individual match is given a percentage score based on how much it overlaps with the previously existing work, and an overall similarity score is given. The report generated from this are included here for transparency and can be cited independently using the DOI below.

- FAQs: https://www.ithenticate.com/products/faqs

### How to cite the iThenticate report for this article:

Yap J, Kayda I, Asamoah-Boaheng M, Haig S, Kirkham T, *et al.* iThenticate report for: The relationship between the number of COVID-19 vaccines and infection with Omicron ACE2 inhibition at 18-months post initial vaccination in an adult cohort of Canadian paramedics. *Access Microbiology*. 2023. https://doi.org/10.1099/acmi.0.000725.v1.2

### Document Identifier: 2338\_651f400cd2e5b2.76611724

## SciScore Report

Below you will find your SciScore report containing three tables. Your score is calculated based on adherence to scientific rigor criteria (Table 1) and identification of key biological resources (Table 2). Table 3 contains statistical tests and oligonucleotides but is not scored. If SciScore makes any mistakes, please <u>contact us</u> to help us learn and improve.

### Table 1: Rigor Adherence Table

| Ethics   |
|--|
| IRB: The CORSIP study began enrolling participants in January 2021, after receiving research ethics board approvals from the University of British Columbia (H20-03620) and the University of Toronto (40435).   |
| Consent: Participants provided electronic consent upon enrolment and completed questionnaires regarding health and sociodemographic information, COVID-19 vaccination history and status, and history of SARS-CoV-2 infections confirmed by positive polymerase chain reaction (PCR) test and/or rapid antigen test (RAT) results. |
| Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria   |
| Participant and Sample SelectionAmong CORSIP participants enrolled between January 2021 and November 2022, we included participants who had received two or three doses of any Health Canada approved mRNA COVID-19 vaccine (BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273).  |
| Attrition  |
| not detected.  |
| Sex as a biological variable   |
| not detected.  |
| Subject Demographics   |
| Age: not detected.   |
| Weight: not detected.  |
| Randomization  |
| not detected.  |
| Blinding   |
| not detected.  |
| Power Analysis   |
| not detected.  |

## **Replication**

not required.

## Table 2: Key Resources Table

| Your Sentences  | REAGENT or<br>RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER  |  |
|---|------------------------|--------|---|--|
| Software and Algorithms   |                        |        |   |  |
| Statistical AnalysesAnalyses were<br>performed using GraphPad Prism<br>Version 9.5.0 (GraphPad Software,<br>San Diego, CA). | GraphPad               |        | Suggestion: (GraphPad Prism,<br>RRID:SCR_002798)( <u>link</u> ) |  |

### **Other Entities Detected**

| Your Sentences  | Recognized Entity   |
|---|---------------------|
|   | Statistical Tests   |
| The median percent inhibition between                                   |                     |
| two groups was compared using a non-<br>parametric Mann-Whitney U test. | Mann-Whitney U test |

SciScore is an <u>automated tool</u> that is designed to assist expert reviewers by finding and presenting formulaic information scattered throughout a paper in a standard, easy to digest format. *SciScore is not a substitute for expert review*. SciScore also checks for the presence and correctness of several unique identifiers, including RRIDs (research resource identifiers) in the manuscript, detects sentences that appear to be missing RRIDs, and can even suggest RRIDs under certain circumstances. All RRID suggestions should be verified; only the author can know whether the suggestions are correct.

For a full description of scored criteria and tips for improving your score, please see <u>https://</u> www.scicrunch.com/sciscorereport-faq

## Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR) Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: doi:10.31222/osf.oio/9sm4x.). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

## Materials

| Antibodies  | Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)  | n/a |
|---|--|-----|
| For commercial reagents, provide supplier name, catalogue number and RRID, if available   | No antibodes detected.<br>Please add identifiers for all resources where possible  |     |
| Cell Materials  | Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)  | n/a |
| <b>Cell lines:</b> Provide species information, strain.<br>Provide accession number in repository OR supplier<br>name, catalog number, clone number, OR RRID                              | No cell lines detected<br>Please add identifiers for all resources where possible  |     |
| <b>Primary cultures:</b> Provide species, strain, sex of origin, genetic modification status.   | Not currently checked by SciScore  |     |
| Experimental Animals  | Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)  | n/a |
| <b>Laboratory animals:</b> Provide species, strain, sex, age, genetic modification status. Provide accession number in repository OR supplier name, catalog number, clone number, OR RRID | No organisms detected<br>Please add identifiers for all resources where possible   |     |
| <b>Animal observed in or captured from the field:</b><br>Provide species, sex and age where possible  | Not currently checked by SciScore  |     |
| Model organisms: Provide Accession number in repository (where relevant) OR RRID  | See laboratory animals section for information.  |     |
| Plants and microbes   | Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)  | n/a |
| <b>Plants:</b> provide species and strain, unique accession<br>number if available, and source (including location for<br>collected wild specimens)                                       | Not currently checked by SciScore  |     |
| <b>Microbes:</b> provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source   | Not currently checked by SciScore  |     |
| Human research participants   | Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)  | n/a |
| Identify authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.   | The CORSIP study began enrolling participants in January 2021, after receiving research ethics board approvals from the University of British Columbia (H20-03620) and the University of Toronto (40435).  |     |
| Provide statement confirming informed consent<br>obtained from study participants.  | Participants provided electronic consent upon enrolment<br>and completed questionnaires regarding health and<br>sociodemographic information, COVID-19 vaccination<br>history and status, and history of SARS-CoV-2 infections<br>confirmed by positive polymerase chain reaction (PCR) test<br>and/or rapid antigen test (RAT) results. |     |
| Report on age and sex for all study participants.   | Age:not detected.  |     |

## Design

| Study protocol   | Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend) | n/a |
|--|---|-----|
| For clinical trials, provide the trial registration number<br>OR cite DOI in manuscript. | Not detected.   |     |
|  |   |     |

| Laboratory protocol   | Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend) | n/a |
|---|---|-----|
| Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step-<br>by-step protocols are available. | Not detected.   |     |

| Experimental study design (statistics details)   | Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)   | n/a |
|--|---|-----|
| State whether and how the following have been done,<br>or if they were not carried out |   |     |
| Sample size determination  | not detected.   |     |
| Randomization  | not detected.   |     |
| Blinding   | not detected.   |     |
| inclusion/exclusion criteria   | Participant and Sample SelectionAmong CORSIP<br>participants enrolled between January 2021 and November<br>2022, we included participants who had received two or three<br>doses of any Health Canada approved mRNA COVID-19<br>vaccine (BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273). |     |

| Sample definition and in-laboratory replication                   | Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend) | n/a |
|---|---|-----|
| State number of times the experiment was replicated in laboratory | Not detected.   |     |
| Define whether data describe technical or biological replicates   | Not detected.   |     |

| Ethics   | Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)   | n/a |
|--|---|-----|
| Studies involving human participants: State details of<br>authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent<br>committee(s), provide reference number for approval.      | The CORSIP study began enrolling participants in January 2021, after receiving research ethics board approvals from the University of British Columbia (H20-03620) and the University of Toronto (40435). |     |
| Studies involving experimental animals: State<br>details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or<br>equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for<br>approval. | Not detected.   |     |
| Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if<br>relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority<br>approving study; if none were required, explain why.    | Not detected.   |     |
| Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)  | Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)   | n/a |
| If study is subject to dual use research of concern,   | Not currently checked by SciScore   |     |

state the authority granting approval and reference

number for the regulatory approval

## Analysis

| Attrition   | Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend) | n/a |
|---|---|-----|
| State if sample or data point from the analysis is<br>excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were<br>determined and specified in advance. | not detected.   |     |

| Statistics   | Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend)  | n/a |
|--|--|-----|
| Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of tests. | The median percent inhibition between two groups was<br>compared using a non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test. |     |

| Data availability   | Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend) | n/a |
|---|---|-----|
| State whether newly created datasets are available, including protocols for access or restriction on access.    | Not detected.   |     |
| If data are publicly available, provide accession number in repository or DOI or URL.                           | Not detected.   |     |
| If publicly available data are reused, provide accession<br>number in repository or DOI or URL, where possible. | Not detected.   |     |

| Code availability   | Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend) | n/a |
|---|---|-----|
| For all newly generated code and software essential for replicating the main findings of the study: |   |     |
| State whether the code or software is available.  | Not detected.   |     |
| If code is publicly available, provide accession number in repository, or DOI or URL.               | Not detected.   |     |

## Analysis

| Adherence to community standards   | Yes (indicate where provided: page no/section/legend) | n/a |
|--|---|-----|
| MDAR framework recommends adoption of<br>discipline-specific guidelines, established and<br>endorsed through community initiatives. Journals have<br>their own policy about requiring specific guidelines<br>and recommendations to complement MDAR. |   |     |
| State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI,<br>ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a<br>checklist (eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is<br>provided with the manuscript.  | Not currently checked by SciScore                     |     |

# ACMI-D-23-00192.pdf

By Brian Grunau



### The relationship between the number of COVID-19 vaccines and infection with 1 Omicron ACE2 inhibition at 18-months post initial vaccination in an adult cohort 2 of Canadian paramedics 3 Justin Yap<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Iryna Kayda<sup>3\*</sup>, Michael Asamoah-Boaheng<sup>4,5</sup>, Scott Haig<sup>6</sup>, Tracy Kirkham<sup>7-8</sup>, 4 Sheldon Cheskes<sup>9</sup>, Paul Demers<sup>7-8</sup>, David M. Goldfarb<sup>10</sup>, Brian E. Grunau<sup>4,5,6</sup> 5 6 \*These co-first authors contributed equally to this work. 7 8 Affiliations: <sup>1</sup>British Columbia Resuscitation Research Collaborative, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada 9 10 <sup>2</sup>Faculty of Science, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada <sup>3</sup>Experimental Medicine Graduate Program, Faculty of Medicine, University of British Columbia, 11 12 Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada <sup>4</sup>Centre for Advancing Health Outcomes, St. Paul's Hospital, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada 13 14 <sup>5</sup>Department of Emergency Medicine, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, 15 Canada <sup>6</sup>BC Emergency Health Services, British Columbia, Canada 16 20 <sup>7</sup>Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada 17 <sup>8</sup>The Occupational Cancer Research Centre, Ontario Health, Ontario, Canada 18 19 20 <sup>9</sup>Department of Family and Community Medicine, Division of Emergency Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada 21 <sup>10</sup>Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British 22 23 Columbia, Canada 24 25 Corresponding Author: Dr. Brian Grunau 26 **BC Resuscitation Research Collaborative** 27 28 1190 Hornby St., 4<sup>th</sup> floor 29 Vancouver, B.C. V6Z 2K5 30 Brian.Grunau@ubc.ca 31 32 48 words: SARS-CoV-2; Omicron; spike; COVID-19; vaccination 33

34 Data Summary:

35 All supporting data can be found at: <u>https://www.covid19immunitytaskforce.ca/citf-</u>

- 36 <u>databank/#accessing</u>
- 37

### 38 Abstract

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, caused by the SARS-CoV-2 virus, has rapidly 39 40 evolved since late 2019, due to highly transmissible Omicron variants. While most Canadian paramedics 41 have received COVID-19 vaccination, the optimal ongoing virgination strategy is unclear. We 42 investigated neutralizing antibody (NtAb) response against wild-type (WT) Wuhan Hu-1 and Omicron 43 BA.4/5 lineages based on the number of doses and past SARS-CoV-2 infection, at 18 months post initial 44 vaccination (with a Wuhan Hu-1 platform mRNA vaccine [BNT162b2 or mRNA-1273}). Demographic 45 information, previous COVID-19 vaccination, infection history, and blood samples were collected from 46 paramedics 18 months post initial mRNA COVID-19 varcine dose. Outcome measures were ACE2 percent inhibition against Omicron BA.4/5 and WT antigens. We compared outcrass based on number of 47 48 vaccine doses (two vs. three) and previous SARS-CoV-2 infection status, using the Mann-Whitney U test. 49 Of 657 participants, the median age was 40 years (IQR 33-50) and 251 (42%) were females. Overall, 50 median percent inhibition to BA.4/5 and WT was 71.61% (IQR 39.44-92.82) and 98.60% (IQR 83.07-99.73), respectively. Those with a past SARS-CoV-2 infection had a higher median percent inhibition to 51 52 BA.4/5 and WT, when compared to uninfected individuals overall and when stratified by two or three 53 vaccine doses When comparing two vs. three WT vaccine doses among SARS-CoV-2 negative 54 participants, we did not detect a difference in BA 4/5 percent inhibition, but there was a difference in 55 WT percent inhibition. Among those with previous SARS-CoV-2 infection(s), when comparing two vs. three WT vaccine doses, there was no observed difference between groups. These finding demonstrate 56 57 that additional Wuhan Hu-1 platform mRNA vaccines did not improve NtAb response to BA.4/5, but

- 58 prior SARS-CoV-2 infection enhances NtAb response.
- 59 60

61

62 63

64

65

66 67

68 69 70 71 72 73 74 Introduction 75 The severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), causing the coronavirus disease 76 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, has regarded in millions of deaths worldwide <sup>1</sup>. The development and 77 widespread distribution of mRNA COVID-19 vaccines, such as BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273, have 78 minimized severe COVID-19 illness and deathar revious studies have found robust immunological responses to wild-type and Delta lineages of SARS-CoV 22 to 6-months post initial vaccination, 79 particularly with two doses of mRNA-1273<sup>3</sup>. However, continued evolution of the SARS-CoV-2 virus has 80 81 introduced several novel variants since the initial doses of mRNA vaccine were administered. Thus, long-82 term follow-up of immunogenicity is warranted. The highly contagious BA.1 (Omicron) variant of concern was first det med in Canada in November 2021. Due to its increased transmissibility, Omicron 83 84 led to a surge of new SARS-CoV-2 infections and became the predominant circulating lineage by 2022 <sup>4</sup>. 85 Various subvariants of Omicron, including BA.4/5, subsequently emerged and continue to impact public 86 health globally <sup>5</sup>. In response, several booster vaccination campaigns have been launched and offered 87 additional doses of the original, wild-type (WT) Wuhan Hu-1 platform mRNA vaccines. However, it is 88 unclear if additional wild-type mRNA vaccines have incremental benefit during the Omicron era. As new SARS-CoV-2 lineages arise, there is less clarity regarding the long-term effectiveness and 89 immunogerialty of the original mRNA vaccines against novel Omicron lineages. In addition, with a large 90 magnitude of the population having been previously infected with SARS-CoV-2, particularly Omicron, it 91 92 would also be beneficial to understand immunogenicity elicited by previous infection and whether 93 booster wild-type vaccine doses confer additional protection. Such knowledge will also have implications for future waves of infection, during which the available vaccines do not match the 94 95 circulating strains. For the above reasons, we sought to investigate the humoral immunogenicity against the WT and Omicron BA.4/5 strains at 18 months post-initial mRNA vaccine, comparing groups based on 96 97 past SARS-CoV-2 infection and the number of WT-directed mRNA vaccine doses.

98

## 99 Materials and Methods

### 100 Study Design and Setting

101 Samples for this analysis were selected from participants in the "COVID-19 Occupational Risks,

102 Seroprevalege, and Immunity among Paramedics in Canada (CORSIP)" study, who were working

103 paramedics in the Canadian provinces of British Columbia, Alberta, Saskatchewan, Manitoba, or Ontario.

104 The CORSIP study began enrolling participants in January 2021, after receiving research ethics board

105 provals from the University of British Columbia (H20-03620) and the University of Toronto (40435).

106 Participants provided electronic consent upon enrolment and completed questionnaires regarding

107 health and sociodemographic information, COVID-19 vaccination history and status, and history of SARS-

108 CoV-2 infections confirmed by positive polymerase chain reaction (PCR) test and/or rapid antigen test

(RAT) results. Participants were asked to provid 39 plood samples and survey data at 6-month intervals,
 including at an 18-month timepoint, following their first dose of a COVID-19 mRNA vaccine (if

111 vaccinated).

112

113

### 114 Participant and Sample Selection

Among CORSIP participants enrolled between January 2021 and November 2022, y19 included 115 participants who had received two or three doses of any Health Canada approved mRNA COVID-19 116 117 vaccine (BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273). We focused on these vaccines, given that the vast majority of 118 CORSIP participants were recipients. Participants were included if they had provided a blood sample at 119 18 months +/- 2 weeks from the date of their first vaccine dose. We excluded participants: 1) who 120 received a bivalent vaccine (bivalent vaccines had just been released at the 18-month timepoint and very few of our participants had received them); 2) who only received one vaccine dose; 3) who received 121 122 four vaccine doses; 4) who received non-mRNA vaccines 5) who had incomplete vaccine and/or infection betory (e.g vaccine or infection date or type of vaccine missing); 6) who had a self-reported previous 123 SARS-CoV-2 infection or COVID-19 vaccination within 60 days prior to this blood collection timepoint 124

125 (given the expected immunological response in this initial phase post-antigen exposure).

### 126 Laboratory Testing

127 All samples were tested with the V-PLEX SARS-CoV-218 anel 28 ACE2 Kit (Meso Scale Discovery, MD, USA)

128 to measure the percent inhibition of ACE2 for both the wild 12 pe Wuhan Hu-1 and BA.4/5 spike antigen.

- 129 This assay platform has previously been shown to perform as a reliable surrogate for live virus
- 130 neutralization <sup>6,7</sup>. All blood serum somples were tested according to the manufacturer's instructions. All

131 samples were also tested with the Roche Elecsys Anti-SARS-CoV-2 Nucleocapsid (N) protein assay (Roche

- Diagrastics Corp., Indianapolis, IN, USA) assay to immunologically identify samples from participants
   with previous SARS-CoV-2 infection.
- 134 Variable Definitions

A past SARS-CoV-2 infection was defined 7 1) self-reported positive result on a rapid antigen test (RAT) 135 136 or polymerase chain reaction (PCR) test; or, 2) a reactive Roche Elecsys Anti-SARS-CoV-2 N assay. We 137 classified previous SARS-CoV-2 infections as Omicron vs. pre-Omicron, which was determined based on 138 the date of the participant's last self-reported positive PCR or RAT test result: a positive test result on 139 January 1, 2022 or later were defined as having an Omicron infection, whereas those with positive 140 results on November 26, 2021 or earlier were considered infected with a pre-Omicron lineage. The 141 majority of COVID-19 cases in Canada beyond this date were Omicron<sup>4</sup>. We considered those with 142 positive results between November 27, 2021 to December 31, 2021 to have an unspecified infection (and thus excluded from Omicron vs pre-Omicron comparisons) to account for a combination of pre-143 Omicron and Omicron lineages circulating during this period. For cases that were classified as having a 144 145 previous SARS-CoV-2 infection based on a reactive Elecsys test, to control for the possibility of a pre-146 Omicron antibody being detected during the Omicron time period, SARS-CoV-2 infections identified by 147 Roche Nucleocapsid assay had to have a reactive test during the Omicron period and a previous nonreactive test(s) to be considered an Omicron infection (otherwise these were excluded from Omicron vspre-Omicron comparisons).

### 150 Outcome Measures

- 151 The primary and secondary outcomes were ACE2 percent inhibition to the BA.4/5 and WT antigens,
- respectively. Due to being the predominant circulating lineages at the time of blood collection<sup>4</sup>, BA.4/5
- 153 lineages were specifically selected for analysis.

154

### 155 Statistical Analyses

22

Analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism Version 9.5.0 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA).
 Participant characteristics and outcomes were reported as counts (with percentages) for categorical
 variables 51 d median (with interquartile range [IQR]) for continuous variables. Outcome measures were
 reported as median with interquartile 15 ge (IQR). The median percent inhibition between two groups
 was compared using a non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered
 statistically significant.

162 We performed several comparisons. First, we compared groups based on whether the participant had 163 received two vs. three vaccines, to investigate the potential impact of repeated dosing with an ancestral strain vaccine on humoral response to an antigenically dirergent, more contemporary variant (BA.4/5). 164 165 Second, we divided garticipants into four groups, based on the number of vaccines and page SARS-CoV-2 infection history (2 doses and no previous SACS-CoV-2 infections ["2 doses uninfected"], 3 doses and no 166 previous SARS-CoV-2 infections, 2 doses and previous SARS-CoV-2 infection(s) ["2 doses infected"], and 167 3 doses and previous SARS-CoV-2 infection(s)) and compared each group to all others. In the third 168 169 comparison, we further divided the subgroups with previous SARS-CoV-2 infection(s) into those who had 170 a pre-Omicron vs. Omicron vs. unspecified infection, to investigate whether a prior Omicron infection elicited greater NtAb response. 171

172

### 173 Results

This study included a set tail of 657 participants out of 3956 enrolled as of November 2022, 251 (42%) of whom were female (Figure 1). The median participant age was 40 years (IQR 33-50 years); 18-month blood samples were collected between June 2022 and November 2022. Participants had a median of 248 days (IQR 224-276 days) between their last vaccination date and the blood collection, and half of the participants were vaccinated exclusively with BNT162b2. Additional participant characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

In the first comparison of two vs. three vaccines (Figure 2), we observed no significant difference in
percent inhibition to BA.4/5 with two vaccine doses (n = 136; 74.67%, IQR 40.24-93.82) vs. three vaccine
doses (n = 521; 69.30%, IQR 39.34-92.60). Similar findings are observed in Figure 2B when comparing
two doses (n = 136; 98.75%, IQR 83.48-99.75) with three doses (n = 521; 98.54%, IQR 83.06-99.73) ACE2
percent inhibition to WT.

Figure 3 starws the results of the second comparison where participants are divided into four subgroups 185 186 based on the number of vaccines and SARS-CoV-2 infection history. For percent inhibition against BA.4/5, the median percent inhibition was: (1) 35.4% (IQR 25-44) for two doses uninfected (n=42); (2) 187 86.0% (IQR 67-97) for two doses infected (n=94); (3) 40.7% (IQR 29-56) for three doses uninfected 188 (n=244); and, (4) 89.5% (IQR 77-97) for three doses infected (n=277). Within all four subgroups, those 189 190 with a previous, unspecified SARS-CoV-2 infection(s) had a greater percent inhibition against both 191 BA.4/5 and WT, when compared to uninfected participants. When examining BA.4/5, we did not detect 192 a difference between those with two vs. three vaccines, when comparing amongst the infected or 193 uninfected cases. When examining WT ACE2 inhibition, we observed a difference between those with 194 two vs. three vaccine doses in uninfected participants, but not when examining previously infected 195 participants.

196 Figure 4 shows results of the third comparison based on the type of preceding SARS-CoV-2 infection

197 strain. We observed significantly greater (P < 0.001) percent inhibition to BA.4/5 when comparing

individuals with three doses and Omicron infection (n = 210; median 92.65, IQR [80-97]) with three

doses and pre-Omicron infection (n = 23; 75.83, IQR [45-91]) (Figure 4A). We also ob 22 rved a

significantly greater percent inhibition (P < 0.05) in individuals with three doses and prior Omicron

201 infection compared to those with two doses and prior Omicron infection. In contrast, percent inhibition

to WT was consistently high across all groups and showed no significant differences with varying

203 infection type or increasing number of vaccine doses (Figure 4B).

204

### 205 Discussion

206 We examined NtAb response against the Omigon and wild-type strains from a prospective cohort of 657 Canadian paramedics. We observed that those with prior SARS-CoV-2 infection(s), compared to 207 208 uninfected individuals, demonstrated greater ACE2 percent inhibition against WT and BA.4/5 lineages. Interestingly, our data indicate that additional WT-directed vaccines did not lead to either enhanced nor 209 reduced humoral immunogenicity against more recent Omicron variants, regardless of whether they 210 211 had been previously infected or not. These data suggest that providing additional WT-directed vaccine 212 doses after two doses did not provide additional benefit in the current time period, which may have 213 implications for future decisions regarding additional dosing strategies when available vaccines do not 214 match the current circulating strains.

215 Overall, an increased number of WT mRNA vaccine doses was not associated with an increased percent 216 inhibition against BA.4/5, except in those individuals with two doses and a prior Omicron era infection. These figsings differ from prior studies that examined antibody neutralization against wild type<sup>8</sup> and 217 218 original Omicron (B.1.1.529) variants <sup>9</sup> with two doses showing reduced NtAb response. However, these studies only assessed responses after relatively shore post second doses (up to 7 months). 219 Several studies have shown greater NtAb responses among vaccinated individuals with a prior infection 220 compared to those without <sup>10,11</sup>. However, two studies have found no difference due to prior BA.1 221 infection between two vaccination and three vaccination groups <sup>12,13</sup>. Carazo et al found no significant 222 difference in reducing the risk of infection to BA.2 from a prior BA.1 infection across two vaccine doses 223 vs three vaccine doses <sup>12</sup>, and Zheng et al found no difference in 50% neutralization titer (NT50) in prior 224 BA.1 infected individuals with either two or three vaccine doses <sup>13</sup>. When compared to our findings, the 225 226 observed differences could be attributed to methodological differences such as the intervals between

227 sample testing and prior infection(s), different SARS-CoV-2 variants, COVID-19 vaccine types and 228 outcome measures selected, and study design used. Further, the statistically significant improvement in 229 percent inhibition in those with prior Omicron infection and a third dose observed in our data could also 230 be due to differences in subgroup sample sizes and characteristics such as vaccine type (e.g BNT162b2 231 and mRNA-1273), vaccination intervals, and the possibility of multiple prior infections, which were not able to be estimated based on our study's cohort. Although statistically significant, the median percent 232 233 inhibition was relatively high across both groups and may not be clinically significant in the context of 234 hospitalization rate, infection burden, and/or disease severity. The finding that additional Wuhan Hu-1 235 platform mRNA vaccines did not improve immunogenicity may have policy implications, given that use 236 of these vaccines may have little further utility, when given alone or in combination with vaccines 237 directed at other strains.

238 A potential concern with repeated vaccine doses using antigen from an ancestral strain is the phenomenon of "original antigenic sin", where repeated exposure to one antigen may result in the 239 240 immune response being preferentially directed towards the primary antigen even when infected with a new variant/strain. This has been described with influenza and other RNA viruses <sup>14,15</sup>. Interestingly, our 241 data shows that additional vaccine doses against the wild-type SARS-CoV-2 strain did not appear to 242 243 diminish the median percent inhibition against BA.4/5. This could be due to the mRNA vaccines eliciting 244 some cross-neutralization against variants of concern (VoC), such as Omicron and its sublineages <sup>16</sup>. 245 However, these vaccinated individuals may still potentially be susceptible to Vac s, given the observed differences in median percent inhibition to BA.4/5 and WT, regardless of the number of vaccine doses or 246 247 prior SARS-CoV-2 infection. Thus, our findings contribute to the current literature in supporting vaccine guidelines that emphasize the importance of bivalent vaccines designed to target Omicron variants, 248 rather than providing boosters against the original strain <sup>17,18</sup>. As new SARS-CoV-2 lineages emerge and 249 250 new vaccines are designed, our findings also provide some insight into biological patterns of immune 251 response related to prior infection and vaccination dose.

252 This study has limitations. Firstly, we used percent ACE2 inhibition for the outcome measure, which is a sperogate marker for immunity. Although not as clinically relevant as clinical outcomes, ACE2 inhibition 253 has been shown to correlate with live virus neutralization (the gold standard for antibody efficacy and 254 predictive of clinical immune response<sup>19-21</sup>), and has been used extensively as a marker for immunity in 255 other studies<sup>3,6,7,22,23</sup>. We utilized self-reported data reprint participant characteristics, which are 256 prone to recall bias, inaccuracize and incompleteness. The Roche Elecsys Anti-SARS-CoV-2 N assay used 257 258 to classify some self-reported SARS-CoV-2 negative participants as SARS-CoV-2 positive is reported to 259 have a 90% sensitivity<sup>24</sup>. Further, these positive participants were missing the date of their prior 260 infection. Additionally, we were unable to determine if participants had multiple prior infections or 261 completely estimate the time interval from infection to blood draw due to the study design and 262 poreliability of self-reported data. No post hoc correction was applied in our statistical analysis. Finally, 263 due to the observational nature of this study, comparisons were made between potentially uneven 264 groups that may differ in measured and/or unmeasured characteristics. For example, one such confounder would be the lack of participant data on potential therapies or medications taken that could 265 alter the immune response such as corticosteroids or chemotherapy. 266

267 Conclusion

|     | 1  |
|-----|--|
| 268 | Those with previous SARS-CoV-2 infection demonstrated higher ACE2 percent inhibition against WT and  |
| 269 | BA.4/5 antigens, compared to those without a prior infection. Three vs. two Wuhan Hu-1 platform      |
| 270 | vaccines doses improved percent inhibition to WT, but not BA.4/5 antigens.                           |
| 271 |  |
| 272 |  |
| 273 |  |
| 274 | 17   |
| 275 | Acknowledgements   |
| 276 | We would like to ack welledge the contributions of all participating paramedics, Sadaf Sediqi, Laura |
| 277 | Zunino, Tara Martin, Christopher MacDonald, Dong Vo, Yann Charles Lafontant, Richard Armour, Jeff    |
| 278 | Maxim, Cheryl Cameron, Troy Clifford and Ambulance Paramedics of BC, Dave Deines and the National    |
| 279 | Paramedics Association of Canada, Veronica Chow, Jim Christenson, Ryan Sneath, Jennifer Bolster,     |
| 280 | Nechelle Wall, Heba Qazilbash, Brian waites, Sandra Jenneson, Robert Schlamp, Ashley Curtis, Chelsie |
| 281 | Osmond, Suzanne Vercauteren and the BC Children's Hospital Biobank, and all participating paramedic  |
| 282 | services and unions.   |
| 283 | Author contributions   |
| 284 | Conceptualization: BG, DG. D   |
| 285 | Methodology: BG, DG, JY, IK, MA-B. Project administration: BG, DG. Supervision: BG, DG. Writing –    |
| 286 | original draft: JY, IK. Writing – review & editing: BG, DG, JY, IK, MA-B, SH, SC, TK, PD.            |
| 287 | Conflicts of Interest  |
| 288 | None   |
| 289 | Funding  |
| 290 | This work was supported by funding from Government of Canada, through the COVID-19 Immunity Task     |
| 291 | Force. MA-B and BG are supported by the Michael Smith Health Research BC.                            |
| 292 |  |
| 293 |  |
| 294 |  |
| 295 |  |
| 296 |  |
| 297 |  |
| 298 |  |
| 299 |  |
| 300 |  |
|     |  |
|     |  |
|     |  |

| 301                                    |        |   |
|--|--------|---|
| 302                                    |        |   |
| 303                                    |        |   |
| 304                                    | Refere | ences   |
| 305<br>306                             | 1.     | WHO Coronavirus (COVID-19) Dashboard   WHO Coronavirus (COVID-19) Dashboard With Vaccination Data. https://covid19.who.int/. Accessed March 17, 2023.   |
| 307<br>308<br>309<br>310<br>311<br>312 | 2.     | Chung H, He S, Nasreen S, Sundaram ME, Buchan SA, Wilson SE, Chen B, Calzavara A, Fell DB,<br>Austin PC, Wilson K, Schwartz KL, Brown KA, Gubbay JB, Basta NE, Mahmud SM, Righolt CH,<br>Svenson LW, MacDonald SE, Janjua NZ, Tadrous M, Kwong JC. Effectiveness of BNT162b2 and<br>mRNA-1273 covid-19 vaccines against symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection and severe covid-19<br>outcomes in Ontario, Canada: test negative design study. <i>BMJ</i> . 2021;374.<br>doi:10.1136/BMJ.N1943. |
| 313<br>314<br>315<br>316<br>317        | 3.     | Grunau B, Golding L, Prusinkiewicz MA, Asamoah-Boaheng M, Armour R, Marquez AC, Jassem AN, Barakauskas V, O'Brien SF, Drews SJ, Haig S, Lavoie PM, Goldfarb DM. Comparative 6-Month Wild-Type and Delta-Variant Antibody Levels and Surrogate Neutralization for Adults Vaccinated with BNT162b2 versus mRNA-1273. <i>Microbiol Spectr</i> . 2022;10(2). doi:10.1128/spectrum.02702-21.   |
| 318<br>319<br>320                      | 4.     | Seroprevalence in Canada - COVID-19 Immunity Task Force.<br>https://www.covid19immunitytaskforce.ca/seroprevalence-in-canada/. Accessed March 24,<br>2023.  |
| 321<br>322<br>323                      | 5.     | Fan Y, Li X, Zhang L, Wan S, Zhang L, Zhou F. SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant: recent progress and future perspectives. <i>Signal Transduct Target Ther 2022 71</i> . 2022;7(1):1-11. doi:10.1038/s41392-022-00997-x.  |
| 324<br>325<br>326<br>327               | 6.     | Tan CW, Chia WN, Qin X, Liu P, Chen MIC, Tiu C, Hu Z, Chen VCW, Young BE, Sia WR, Tan YJ, Foo R, Yi Y, Lye DC, Anderson DE, Wang LF. A SARS-CoV-2 surrogate virus neutralization test based on antibody-mediated blockage of ACE2-spike protein-protein interaction. <i>Nat Biotechnol</i> . 2020;38(9):1073-1078. doi:10.1038/S41587-020-0631-Z.   |
| 328<br>329<br>330<br>331<br>332        | 7.     | Abe KT, Li Z, Samson R, Samavarchi-Tehrani P, Valcourt EJ, Wood H, Budylowski P, Dupuis AP,<br>Girardin RC, Rathod B, Wang JH, Barrios-Rodiles M, Colwill K, McGeer AJ, Mubareka S,<br>Gommerman JL, Durocher Y, Ostrowski M, McDonough KA, Drebot MA, Drews SJ, Rini JM,<br>Gingras AC. A simple protein-based surrogate neutralization assay for SARS-CoV-2. <i>JCl insight</i> .<br>2020;5(19). doi:10.1172/JCl.INSIGHT.142362.  |
| 333<br>334<br>335                      | 8.     | Lake DF, Roeder AJ, Gonzalez-Moa MJ, Koehler M, Kaleta E, Jasbi P, Vanderhoof J, McKechnie D, Forman J, Edwards BA, Seit-Nebi A, Svarovsky S. Third COVID-19 vaccine dose boosts neutralizing antibodies in poor responders. <i>Commun Med</i> . 2022;2(1). doi:10.1038/S43856-022-00151-2.   |
| 336<br>337<br>338<br>339               | 9.     | Furukawa K, Tjan LH, Kurahashi Y, Sutandhio S, Nishimura M, Arii J, Mori Y. Assessment of<br>Neutralizing Antibody Response Against SARS-CoV-2 Variants After 2 to 3 Doses of the BNT162b2<br>mRNA COVID-19 Vaccine. <i>JAMA Netw Open</i> . 2022;5(5):e2210780-e2210780.<br>doi:10.1001/JAMANETWORKOPEN.2022.10780.  |
| 340                                    | 10.    | Ali H, Alahmad B, Al-Shammari AA, Alterki A, Hammad M, Cherian P, Alkhairi I, Sindhu S, Thanaraj  |
|  |        |   |

| 341<br>342<br>343<br>344        |     | TA, Mohammad A, Alghanim G, Deverajan S, Ahmad R, El-Shazly S, Dashti AA, Shehab M, Al-<br>Sabah S, Alkandari A, Abubaker J, Abu-Farha M, Al-Mulla F. Previous COVID-19 Infection and<br>Antibody Levels After Vaccination. <i>Front Public Heal</i> . 2021;9:1964.<br>doi:10.3389/FPUBH.2021.778243/BIBTEX.  |
|---------------------------------|-----|---|
| 345<br>346<br>347<br>348<br>349 | 11. | Bobrovitz N, Ware H, Ma X, Li Z, Hosseini R, Cao C, Selemon A, Whelan M, Premji Z, Issa H, Cheng B, Abu Raddad LJ, Buckeridge DL, Van Kerkhove MD, Piechotta V, Higdon MM, Wilder-Smith A, Bergeri I, Feikin DR, Arora RK, Patel MK, Subissi L. Protective effectiveness of previous SARS-CoV-2 infection and hybrid immunity against the omicron variant and severe disease: a systematic review and meta-regression. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i> . 2023;0(0). doi:10.1016/s1473-3099(22)00801-5.       |
| 350<br>351<br>352<br>353<br>354 | 12. | Carazo S, Skowronski DM, Brisson M, Barkati S, Sauvageau C, Brousseau N, Gilca R, Fafard J,<br>Talbot D, Ouakki M, Gilca V, Carignan A, Deceuninck G, De Wals P, De Serres G. Protection against<br>omicron (B.1.1.529) BA.2 reinfection conferred by primary omicron BA.1 or pre-omicron SARS-<br>CoV-2 infection among health-care workers with and without mRNA vaccination: a test-negative<br>case-control study. <i>Lancet Infect Dis.</i> 2023;23(1):45-55. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(22)00578-3. |
| 355<br>356<br>357<br>358        | 13. | Zheng H, Cao Y, Chen X, Wang F, Hu Y, Song W, Chai Y, Gu Q, Shi Y, Feng Y, Liu S, Xie Y, Xie XS, Jiang W, Shen Z. Disease profile and plasma neutralizing activity of post-vaccination Omicron BA.1 infection in Tianjin, China: a retrospective study. <i>Cell Res 2022 328</i> . 2022;32(8):781-784. doi:10.1038/s41422-022-00674-2.  |
| 359<br>360                      | 14. | Monto AS, Malosh RE, Petrie JG, Martin ET. The Doctrine of Original Antigenic Sin: Separating Good From Evil. <i>J Infect Dis</i> . 2017;215(12):1782. doi:10.1093/INFDIS/JIX173.   |
| 361<br>362                      | 15. | Park MS, Kim J II, Park S, Lee I, Park MS. Original Antigenic Sin Response to RNA Viruses and Antiviral Immunity. <i>Immune Netw</i> . 2016;16(5):261. doi:10.4110/IN.2016.16.5.261.  |
| 363<br>364<br>365               | 16. | Aguilar-Bretones M, Fouchier RAM, Koopmans MPG, van Nierop GP. Impact of antigenic evolution and original antigenic sin on SARS-CoV-2 immunity. <i>J Clin Invest</i> . 2023;133(1). doi:10.1172/JCI162192.  |
| 366<br>367<br>368<br>369        | 17. | Chalkias S, Harper C, Vrbicky K, Walsh SR, Essink B, Brosz A, McGhee N, Tomassini JE, Chen X,<br>Chang Y, Sutherland A, Montefiori DC, Girard B, Edwards DK, Feng J, Zhou H, Baden LR, Miller JM,<br>Das R. A Bivalent Omicron-Containing Booster Vaccine against Covid-19. <i>N Engl J Med</i> .<br>2022;387(14):1279-1291. doi:10.1056/NEJMOA2208343.   |
| 370<br>371<br>372               | 18. | Lin D-Y, Xu Y, Gu Y, Zeng D, Wheeler B, Young H, Sunny SK, Moore Z. Effectiveness of Bivalent<br>Boosters against Severe Omicron Infection. <i>N Engl J Med</i> . February 2023.<br>doi:10.1056/NEJMC2215471/SUPPL_FILE/NEJMC2215471_DISCLOSURES.PDF.   |
| 373<br>374<br>375               | 19. | Muruato AE, Fontes-Garfias CR, Ren P, Garcia-Blanco MA, Menachery VD, Xie X, Shi PY. A high-<br>throughput neutralizing antibody assay for COVID-19 diagnosis and vaccine evaluation. <i>Nat</i><br><i>Commun 2020 111</i> . 2020;11(1):1-6. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-17892-0.  |
| 376<br>377<br>378<br>379        | 20. | Khoury DS, Cromer D, Reynaldi A, Schlub TE, Wheatley AK, Juno JA, Subbarao K, Kent SJ, Triccas JA, Davenport MP. Neutralizing antibody levels are highly predictive of immune protection from symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection. <i>Nat Med 2021 277</i> . 2021;27(7):1205-1211. doi:10.1038/s41591-021-01377-8.   |

21. Grunau B, Prusinkiewicz M, Asamoah-Boaheng M, et al. Correlation of SARS-COV-2 viral neutralizing antibody titers with anti-spike antibodies and ACE-2 inhibition among vaccinated individuals. Microbiology Spectrum. 2022;10(5). doi:10.1128/spectrum.01315-22 22. Dolscheid-Pommerich R, Bartok E, Renn M, Kümmerer BM, Schulte B, Schmithausen RM, Stoffel-Wagner B, Streeck H, Saschenbrecker S, Steinhagen K, Hartmann G. 2022. Correlation between a quantitative anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG ELISA and neutralization activity. J Med Virol 94:388–392. doi: 10.1002/jmv.27287 23. Tea F, Ospina Stella A, Aggarwal A, Ross Darley D, Pilli D, Vitale D, Merheb V, Lee FXZ, Cunningham P, Walker GJ, Fichter C, Brown DA, Rawlinson WD, Isaacs SR, Mathivanan V, Hoffmann M, Pöhlman S, Mazigi O, Christ D, Dwyer DE, Rockett RJ, Sintchenko V, Hoad VC, Irving DO, Dore GJ, Gosbell IB, Kelleher AD, Matthews GV, Brilot F, Turville SG. 2021. SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies: longevity, breadth, and evasion by emerging viral variants. PLoS Med 18:e1003656. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1003656 24. Riester E, Findeisen P, Hegel JK, Kabesch M, Ambrosch A, Rank CM, Pessl F, Laengin T, Niederhauser C. Performance evaluation of the Roche Elecsys Anti-SARS-CoV-2 S immunoassay. J Virol Methods. 2021;297. doi:10.1016/J.JVIROMET.2021.114271. 







#### Figure 3. ACE2 percent inhibition to (A) BA.4/5 and (B) Wuhan Hu-1 by vaccine dose and prior SARS-CoV-2 infection status

P < 0.0001, \*\*\*\*; P < 0.0021,\*\* ; ns, not significant; box plot shows median and interquartile range 

(IQR); whiskers, 5-95<sup>th</sup> percentile; 2 doses no prior infection, n = 42; 2 doses with prior infection, n = 94;

3 doses no prior infection, n = 244; 3 doses with prior infection, n = 277. Comparisons were performed between two groups at a time.



# Figure 4. ACE2 percent inhibition to (A) BA.4/5 and (B) Wuhan Hu-1 by vaccine dose and pre-Omicron, Omicron, or unspecified SARS-CoV-2 infection status

P < 0.001, \*\*\*; P < 0.05, \*; ns, not significant; box plot shows median and interquartile range (IQR);</li>
whiskers, 5-95<sup>th</sup> percentile; 2 doses prior pre-omicron infection, n = 7; 2 doses prior omicron infection, n
= 71; 2 doses prior unspecified infection, n = 16; 3 doses prior pre-omicron infection, n = 23; 3 doses
prior omicron infection, n = 210; 3 doses prior unspecified infection, n = 44. Comparisons were

493 performed between two groups at a time.

503

504

505

506

| Characteristics                      | 2 vaccines (n = 136) | 3 vaccines (n = 521) |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Age (median, IQR)                    | 38 (31-50)           | 40 (34-50)           |
| Sex                                  |                      |                      |
| Female                               | 43 (39)              | 208 (40)             |
| Male                                 | 67 (61)              | 285 (55)             |
| Missing                              | 26 (19)              | 28 (5.4)             |
| Last vaccine-to-BC interval (days)   | 485 (431-507)        | 241 (217-255)        |
| 2 <sup>nd</sup> vaccine to BC (days) | 485 (431-507)        | 501 (447-510)        |
| COVID+ history                       | 94 (69)              | 277 (53)             |
| Omicron COVID                        | 71 (52)              | 210 (40)             |
| Pre-omicron COVID                    | 7 (5.1)              | 23 (4.4)             |
| Unspecified COVID                    | 16 (12)              | 44 (8.4)             |
| COVID-to-BC interval (days)          | 160 (116-197)        | 145 (95-202)         |
| Missing                              | 29 (21)*             | 96 (18)*             |
| ACE2 % Inhibition                    |                      |                      |
| BA.4/BA.5                            | 75 (40-94)           | 69 (39-93)           |
| Wuhan Hu-1                           | 99 (83-100)          | 99 (83-100)          |
| Vaccine 1                            |                      |                      |
| mRNA-1273                            | 33 (24)              | 157 (30)             |
| BNT162b2                             | 103 (76)             | 364 (70)             |
| Vaccine 2                            |                      |                      |
| mRNA-1273                            | 101 (74)             | 154 (30)             |
| BNT162b2                             | 35 (26)              | 367 (70)             |
| Vaccine 3                            |                      |                      |
| mRNA-1273                            | -                    | 256 (49)             |
| BNT162b2                             | -                    | 265 (51)             |

507

508 Table 1. Participant characteristics at 18-month blood collection from first original mRNA vaccine date

509 SD, standard deviation; COVID+, prior SARS-CoV-2 infection; COVID-, uninfected individual; Omicron

510 COVID, SARS-CoV-2 infection reported on January 1, 2022 or later; Pre-omicron COVID, SARS-CoV-2

511 infection reported on November 26 or prior; unspecified infection reported between Nov 27, 2021 to

512 December 31, 2021 or prior SARS-CoV-2 infection determined by reactive N-Roche assay with no prior

513 unreactive N-Roche result; BC, blood collection; \*, participants determined to be positive through N-

514 Roche assay where date of COVID-19 is unknown.



# ACMI-D-23-00192.pdf

ORIGINALITY REPORT



|    |   | 39 words — <b>1%</b>                |
|----|---|-------------------------------------|
| 7  | pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov   | 39 words — <b>1%</b>                |
| 8  | Martin A. Prusinkiewicz, Sadaf Sediqi, Ying Jie Li,<br>David M. Goldfarb et al. "Effect of vaccine dosing<br>intervals on omicron surrogate neutralization after t<br>of BNT162b2", Heliyon, 2023<br>Crossref                                       | 37 words — 1%                       |
| 9  | www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov<br>Internet  | 32 words — <b>1%</b>                |
| 10 | med-fom-bcresurect.sites.olt.ubc.ca   | 27 words — <b>1%</b>                |
| 11 | rcastoragev2.blob.core.windows.net  | 22 words — <b>1%</b>                |
| 12 | www.unboundmedicine.com   | 22 words — <b>1%</b>                |
| 13 | www.frontiersin.org   | 20 words — <b>1%</b>                |
| 14 | Chuchu Ye, Ge Zhang, Anran Zhang, Hualei Xin et<br>al. "The Omicron Variant Reinfection Risk among<br>Individuals with a Previous SARS-CoV-2 Infection with<br>Year in Shanghai, China: A Cross-Sectional Study", Va<br>2023<br><sub>Crossref</sub> | words — < 1%<br>hin One<br>accines, |
|    | drotu odu ca  | 0/                                  |

15 dr.ntu.edu.sg

17 words - < 1%

| 16 | www.wjgnet.com  | 17 words $-<$  | 1% |
|----|---|--|----|
| 17 | Brian Grunau, Janessa Tom, Michael Asamoah-<br>Boaheng, Sheila F O'Brien et al. "Sensitivity of the<br>Elecsys Nucleocapsid Assay for the Detection of F<br>SARS-CoV-2 Infections", Open Forum Infectious D<br>Crossref   | 16 words — <<br>Preceding<br>Diseases, 2022              | 1% |
| 18 | Felix Scholkmann, Christian-Albrecht May.<br>"COVID-19, post-acute COVID-19 syndrome<br>(PACS, "long COVID") and post-COVID-19 vaccinat<br>(PCVS, "post-COVIDvac-syndrome"): Similarities a<br>differences", Pathology - Research and Practice, 2<br>Crossref         | 16 words — <<br>tion syndrome<br>nd<br>2023              | 1% |
| 19 | www.mcmasterforum.org   | 16 words — <   | 1% |
| 20 | docksci.com<br>Internet   | 15 words $-<$  | 1% |
| 21 | Brian Grunau, Sheila F. O'Brien, Tracy L. Kirkham,<br>Jennie Helmer et al. "A Prospective Observational<br>Cohort Comparison of SARS-CoV-2 Seroprevalence<br>Paramedics and Matched Blood Donors in Canad<br>COVID-19 Pandemic", Annals of Emergency Medi<br>Crossref | 13 words — <<br>ce Between<br>a During the<br>cine, 2022 | 1% |
| 22 | www.mdpi.com  | 13 words $-<$  | 1% |
| 23 | www.vaccho.org.au   | 13 words $-<$  | 1% |
| 24 | Niklas Bobrovitz, Harriet Ware, Xiaomeng Ma,<br>Ziban Li et al. "Protective effectiveness of  | 12 words — <   | 1% |

previous SARS-CoV-2 infection and hybrid immunity against the omicron variant and severe disease: a systematic review and meta-regression", The Lancet Infectious Diseases, 2023 <sub>Crossref</sub>

| 25 | www.nature.com<br>Internet  | 12 words $-<$              | 1% |
|----|---|----------------------------|----|
| 26 | Thorunn A. Olafsdottir, Kristbjorg Bjarnadottir,<br>Gudmundur L. Norddahl, Gisli H. Halldorsson et<br>al. "HLA alleles, disease severity, and age associat<br>responses following infection with SARS-CoV-2",<br>Communications Biology, 2022<br>Crossref | 11 words — <               | 1% |
| 27 | Barry Weinberger, Mazen Khalifeh, Lorry Rubin,<br>David Dayya et al. "SARS-CoV-2 Neutralizing<br>Antibody Titers in Maternal Blood, Umbilical Cord<br>Breast Milk", Research Square Platform LLC, 2023<br>Crossref Posted Content                         | 10 words — <<br>Blood, and | 1% |
| 28 | Helene Kildegaard, Lars Christian Lund, Mikkel<br>Højlund, Lone Graff Stensballe, Anton Pottegård.<br>"Risk of adverse events after covid-19 in Danish cl<br>adolescents and effectiveness of BNT162b2 in ado<br>cohort study", BMJ, 2022<br>Crossref     | 10 words — <               | 1% |
| 29 | faculty.uobasrah.edu.iq   | 10 words $-<$              | 1% |
| 30 | Patricia Almendro-Vázquez, Rocío Laguna-Goya,<br>Estela Paz-Artal. "Defending against SARS-CoV-2:<br>The T cell perspective", Frontiers in Immunology,<br><sub>Crossref</sub>   | 9 words — <<br>2023        | 1% |

| 31 | Portia Chipo Mutevedzi, Mary Kawonga, Gaurav<br>Kwatra, Andrew Moultrie et al. "Estimated SARS-<br>CoV-2 infection rate and fatality risk in Gauteng Pr<br>Africa: a population-based seroepidemiological sur<br>International Journal of Epidemiology, 2022<br>Crossref  | 9 words — <<br>ovince, South<br>rvey",                | 1% |
|----|---|---|----|
| 32 | Zhuo-Lin Chong, Wan Shakira Rodzlan Hasani, Filz<br>Noor Asari, Eida Nurhadzira Muhammad et al.<br>"The seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 infection in Ma<br>August to 11 October 2020", Influenza and Other I<br>Viruses, 2023<br>Crossref                                    | <sup>a</sup> 9 words — <<br>alaysia: 7<br>Respiratory | 1% |
| 33 | <b>cheos.ubc.ca</b><br>Internet   | 9 words — <   | 1% |
| 34 | doaj.org<br>Internet  | 9 words $-<$  | 1% |
| 35 | elifesciences.org   | 9 words — <   | 1% |
| 36 | www.nitag-resource.org  | 9 words — <   | 1% |
| 37 | Chang Kyung Kang, Min-Gang Kim, Seong-wook<br>Park, Yong-Woo Kim et al. "Comparable humoral<br>and cellular immunity against Omicron variant BA.<br>boosted BA.1/2 convalescents and twice-boosted of<br>naïve individuals", Journal of Medical Virology, 202<br>Crossref | 8 words — <<br>4/5 of once-<br>COVID-19-<br>3         | 1% |
| 38 | Jingxin Li, Zhuopei Li, Yawen Zhu, Li Zhou, Fengcai<br>Zhu. "Evidence of the Efficacy and the   | 8 words — <   | 1% |

Effectiveness of First Generation COVID-19 Vaccines in Clinical Trials and Real-world Studies", Zoonoses, 2022

- 39 Kate Zinszer, Katia Charland, Laura Pierce, Adrien Saucier et al. "Pre-Omicron seroprevalence, seroconversion, and seroreversion of infection-induced SARS-CoV-2 antibodies among a cohort of children and teenagers in Montreal, Canada", International Journal of Infectious Diseases, 2023 Crossref
- 40 Luca Perico, Marta Todeschini, Federica Casiraghi, Marilena Mister et al. "Long-term adaptive response in COVID-19 vaccine recipients and the effect of a booster dose", Frontiers in Immunology, 2023 Crossref
- Michael Asamoah-Boaheng, David M. Goldfarb, Vilte Barakauskas, Tracy L. Kirkham et al. "Evaluation of the Performance of a Multiplexed Serological Assay in the Detection of SARS-CoV-2 Infections in a Predominantly Vaccinated Population", Microbiology Spectrum, 2022 Crossref
- 42 Sandra Scheiblhofer, Stephan Drothler, Werner Braun, Reinhard Braun, Maximilian Boesch, Richard Weiss. "Laser-facilitated epicutaneous immunization of mice with SARS-CoV-2 spike protein induces antibodies inhibiting spike/ACE2 binding", Vaccine, 2021 Crossref
- Taisei Masuda, Kyoko Murakami, Kenkichi Sugiura, Sho Sakui, Ron Philip Schuring, Mitsuhiro Mori. "A phase 1/2 randomised placebo-controlled study of the COVID-

# 19 vaccine mRNA-1273 in healthy Japanese adults: an interim report", Vaccine, 2022 Crossref

| 44 | plus.mcmaster.ca   | 8 words $-$ <                        | 1 | % |
|----|--|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| 45 | WWW.Science.gov<br>Internet  | 8 words $-<$                         | 1 | % |
| 46 | www.xiahepublishing.com  | 8 words $-<$                         | 1 | % |
| 47 | Jung Eun Kim, Heejin Choi, Minji Lee, Chang<br>Hyeong Lee. "The effect of shortening the<br>quarantine period and lifting the indoor mask man<br>spread of COVID-19: a mathematical modeling app<br>Frontiers in Public Health, 2023<br>Crossref             | 7 words — <                          | 1 | % |
| 48 | Safae El Mazouri, Tarik Aanniz, Sara Louati, Lahcer<br>Belyamani, Rachid El Jaoudi, Mouna Ouadghiri.<br>"Understanding the Omicron Variant in the COVID<br>Pandemic", IntechOpen, 2023<br>Crossref   | <sup>7</sup> 7 words — <<br>-19      | 1 | % |
| 49 | Jorge Camacho, Joao Zulaica, Estela Giménez,<br>Luciana Rusu et al. "Neutralizing antibodies<br>against Omicron BA.4/5 after COVID-19 vaccinatio<br>CoV-2 experienced versus naïve individuals in the<br>population", Journal of Infection, 2023<br>Crossref | 6 words — <<br>n in SARS-<br>general | 1 | % |
| 50 | Laiba Khan, Jacob Hutton, Justin Yap, Peter Dodek<br>et al. "The association of the post-resuscitation on<br>scene interval and patient outcomes after out-of-h<br>cardiac arrest", Resuscitation, 2023<br><sub>Crossref</sub>                               | 6 words — <                          | 1 | % |

51 Parichart Permpikul, Surat Tongyoo, Chutikarn Chaimayo, Prapan Kanpai et al. "Anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibody among SARS-CoV-2 vaccinated vs post-infected blood donors in a tertiary hospital, Bangkok, Thailand", PLOS ONE, 2023 Crossref

EXCLUDE QUOTES OFF EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY ON EXCLUDE SOURCES OFF EXCLUDE MATCHES OFF